Committee	Dated:		
City Bridge Trust (CBT)	25 th March 2021		
Subject: Applications Recommended for Rejection	Public		
Which outcomes in the City Corporation's Corporate Plan does this proposal aim to impact directly?	N/A		
Does this proposal require extra revenue and/or capital spending?	N		
If so, how much?	N/A		
What is the source of Funding?	N/A		
Has this Funding Source been agreed with the Chamberlain's Department?	N/A		
Report of: Chief Grants Officer and Director of CBT (CGO)	For Decision		
Report author: Scott Nixon, Head of Director's Office			

Summary

This report and the accompanying schedule outlines a total of **4** applications that, for the reasons identified, are recommended for rejection. All of these applications were under Bridging Divides criteria.

Recommendation

Members are asked to:

• Reject the grant applications detailed in the accompanying schedule

Main Report

- 1. The applications recommended for rejection at this meeting are listed within categories in the accompanying schedule. In each case the "purpose" that is used to describe the application is that provided by the applicant organisation. All the recommendations are based on criteria set out in your Policy Guidance.
- 2. Copies of these application forms are available electronically. If any Committee Member wishes to query any of the recommendations, this can either be done at the meeting, in which case the decision may be deferred while full details are provided to the Member concerned, or by contacting the Trust office in advance of the meeting so that an explanation can be provided prior to or at the meeting.

Scott Nixon
Head of Director's office
020 4526 1213
Scott.nixon@cityoflondon.gov.uk

Grants Recommended for Rejection

Request Date	Ref	Organisation	Purpose	Reason for Recommendation for Rejection	Amount Requested	Funding Manager	Area			
<u>Bridging</u>	Bridging Divides									
Connecting the Capital										
May 2020	16557	London Unemployed Strategies	Capacity-building for benefits claimants via peer support groups and training so they can support each other in expressing their needs effectively to people in authority.	The organisation's annual income is very low and its financial security is in doubt. As such the Trust would only be able to provide a very small amount of funding which would not help secure its future sustainability which, from the information currently available, is uncertain.	£160,058	Lily Brandhorst	Camden			
April 2020	16564	Royal Parks Foundation	To engage a maximum of people, schools and local communities with ecological and gardening activities and learning to improve local environment.	An application that seeks £150,000 contribution over 3 years to a restoration project for Greenwich Park with a total project cost of over £7m. The request seeks funding for the Learning and Community Programme to be delivered around a new Learning Centre but the application detail focuses more broadly on the overall regeneration project and its outcomes rather than on the learning programme and is lacking in substantial detail on what this programme entails and the specific costs that are requested from the Trust - therefore a sufficient fit with your priorities cannot be determined.	£150,000	Natalie Jordan	Westminster			

Request Date	Ref	Organisation	Purpose	Reason for Recommendation for Rejection	Amount Requested	Funding Manager	Area
<u>Posi</u>	tive Tran	<u>sitions</u>					
April 2020	16110	Community Focus Inclusive Arts	To deliver a creative programme for 16-25 year olds who have mental health difficulties and/or additional needs, aiming to support and promote positive mental health and emotional wellbeing.	Based on the financial information provided by the applicant your officer has not been assured that the organisation's management of its finances is sufficiently robust. Accounts for 22019/20 show negative free reserves.	£98,909	Matthew Robinson	Barnet
April 2020	16570	Greenwich & Bexley Community Hospice	Increase the quality and quantity of social work support to Greenwich and Bexley's marginalised older terminally ill - giving them more choice and control at the end of their lives	While some of the proposed work falls within the Trust's aims, there is a bereavement support element that could end up constituting a significant component and the organisation does not hold a quality mark for the advice it offers - as is your requirement. If a grant were made it would have to be subject to several conditions; as it is, this proposal does not sufficiently meet the Trust's priorities.	£151,715	Lily Brandhorst	Greenwich
Total Positive Transitions (2 items)				£250,624			
Grand Totals (4 items)				£560,682			